

2/21/19 - Meeting Notes



2-21-19 - Directo...eeting Slides.pdf

Alix Goss

Bob Dieterle

Ed Martin

Patrick Murta

Tim Young

Alex Kontur

Use Cases

No additional comments or feedback on four use cases (identity management, alerts, quality, orders)

Ed Martin – what is the timeline for finalizing use cases?

- Murta – Use Case Tiger Team is on pace to release first set of use cases around March 7. May take a few additional weeks to incorporate any feedback from other Tiger Teams (i.e. end of March)

Alix – Has the Use Cases Tiger Team received our feedback on the use cases we already reviewed?

- Murta – not as of yet, the Tiger Team has been focused on disseminating a complete set of use cases first

Defining Deliverables

Alix – at 2:30, Tiger Team leads are participating on a monthly coordination call. Anticipate that we will receive additional guidance about deliverables and next steps

Bob – will need to formally define the problem we are trying to address. Initial list of items to include:

1. Develop clear definitions for the issues addressed by this Tiger Team (i.e. directories, versioning, scale)
2. Develop a concise summary of existing industry efforts (who they are and what they are doing)
3. Summarize/evaluate the pros and cons of existing solutions (e.g. Sequoia directory, DirectTrust directory, etc.)
 - a. Are these good models for the future, or more appropriate for the current point in time?
4. Define existing regulatory barriers and their impact
5. Define a preferred direction/technical solution and future state
6. Define/propose standards efforts and regulatory efforts, including timelines
7. Evaluate new regulatory efforts (ONC/CMS NPRMs) and NCVHS guidance (predictability roadmap)
8. Present findings to the steering committee for feedback, as appropriate

Alix – may also have to coordinate with other Tiger Teams to understand dependencies

- Bob – definitely a higher order effort that must happen across Tiger Teams, but not necessarily a deliverable for our Tiger Team

[computer disconnected for security update]

Ed Martin – [number 8 – “create industry leader driven workgroup to review findings, evaluate approach, propose final solution”] word “solution” may imply selection of a vendor. However, seems like the intent here is to define an architecture which is vendor agnostic

- Bob – agree, we are here to promote/establish a set of common standards that must be implemented by everybody in this space. We need “floor” standards, while preserving the ability to innovate on top
- Murta – concern is that it may be better to address standards from the general FAST perspective, rather than within each Tiger Team]
- Bob – change wording: “propose industry leaders to review findings, evaluate approach, and propose end state solution”. Need to “look beyond ourselves” and get the appropriate people involved
 - Add a new deliverable item: “identify solutions that would benefit from an industry-driven review”

Murta – will be important to evaluate solutions because there are interest groups that are trying to promote solutions that may not be effective (e.g. is the regional HIE model something we should promote further or something that will ultimately succumb to market forces)