## 12/20/18 - Meeting Notes



Alix Goss

**Bob Dieterle** 

Rick Geimer

Ed Martin

Danielle Friend

Karl Davis

Patrick Murta

## One Pager - Apple (Ed Martin)

- Apple primarily focuses on the consumer market, with entry to healthcare via iPhone and software/hardware ecosystem (e.g. Healthkit & Researchkit)
  - May not be as relevant to Directory Tiger Team due to consumer-focus
- Ability for patient/caregiver to use iPhone to pull/store EHR records based on the Common Clinical Data Set
  - Owner of the iPhone can determine which other apps can access the stored records
- · Healthkit is a consumer of FHIR API services, does not provide API services of its own
  - O Does include healthkit SDK for app developers to use to support local access
  - Custom data model based on FHIR DSTU2
- Does not participate in a trust framework; privacy is consumer-mediated patient supplies credentials (typically to a patient portal) to download
  data to the iPhone
  - Apple provides guidance in SDK for developers to manage consent for local access
- Primary POC Dr. Ricky Bloomfield (Clinical & Health Informatics Lead)
- As of December, Apple is connected to 150+ EHR instances
  - o UCSF recently went live with Apple Healthkit connectivity (all 5 campuses), and HealthKit is the most frequent FHIR API user
    - largest hurdles involved privacy/legal issues, such as understanding liabilities/responsibilities involved w/releasing the data to a patient's phone
  - o Point-to-point connectivity to each EHR

Karl - rumors/hope that Apple Health may eventually support a consumer claims data set as well

Bob – CARIN Alliance is working on making commercial claims data available (similar to BlueButton 2.0 for Medicare FFS data); both use FHIR
Explanation of Benefits resource. One Da Vinci use case involves making similar information (minus data about financial obligations) available to
providers

Bob – in Healthkit, is data maintained discretely or is it represented as a document?

• Ed – it is available discretely, however it is segregated based on the source system rather than aggregated. Stored as a JSON object, which is also available to the user

Bob - what can this Tiger Team learn from Apple's work? Anything re: scaling?

- Ed technical connectivity is relatively straightforward b/c it is based on a single standard (FHIR DSTU2). Privacy/legal implications of the exchange are more difficult hurdles
- Bob Apple is essentially providing the model of a clearinghouse, i.e. when a user wants their data they are accessing it through Apple's
  infrastructure
  - Ed No. Apple provides a limited directory service to identify a provider organization, but the app routes you to a login screen supplied by that organization. Once patient authenticates, the app/iPhone connect directly to the FHIR endpoint for the organization
- Karl does Apple require an SLA?
  - o Ed not that I have seen, can double check

Bob - how does the app manage updates?

• Ed – when you open the app, it refreshes data for any of the provider organizations you are connected to. Also refreshes in the background on a scheduled interval, although the interval gets longer the longer you haven't opened the app.

Bob – hearing that Apple provides the ability to determine whether a particular endpoint is available...when you authenticate what happens?

- Ed login page is displayed for the provider organization; uses OAuth 2.0 tokens to allow calls to the API
- Bob who provides the OAuth service?
  - Ed usually provided by the EHR
- Bob when does the application obtain the FHIR server endpoint?
  - Ed as part of SMART on FHIR, URL for endpoint is provided during authentication
  - Danielle For Epic, Apple has the base FHIR endpoints. Capability statement provides authentication/authorization endpoints. SMART on FHIR workflow provides the redirect to the appropriate login page/FHIR server API (one per installation)
  - Ed OAuth "dance" includes specific steps, e.g. authentication step takes you to a page to enter credentials, provides a list of resources
    that the credentials can access, endpoint determines validity of security token and where to redirect the client once the credentials are
    accepted
- Bob Oauth's ability to scale?
  - Karl OAuth is a three-way authentication system, most useful for when a user wants to send data from a data holder to another location. OAuth doesn't necessarily apply to system-system connections
  - Bob if I am a consumer authorizing a provider to share with another provider, would OAuth apply?
    - Karl given a patient's consent at Provider A, Provider B can pull data from Provider A
    - Bob so this is a way to do patient-mediated consent
  - ° Ed there is also a two-way flavor of OAuth that could support system-system use cases, but not as widely used
  - Karl a patient-driven consent model fundamentally isn't that scalable, e.g. doesn't support use cases like a patient presenting at an ER
    and is unable to remember or use their credentials

Ed – in addition to Apple, there are other apps that can provide access to EHR data via APIs (usually b/c of a MU measure). Some of these apps store the data on the app's cloud-based servers rather than the device itself

- Danielle the MU APIs are listed publicly for Epic clients
- Ed one difference between healthkit and many other apps is that Apple provides a refresh token to continue accessing data in the background (due to partnership between Epic/Apple)

Bob – could Epic have chosen to have a single endpoint and use a security token to pass queries? (i.e. Epic provides a single endpoint and manages connections internally)

- Geimer I believe that's how Cerner does it
- Danielle we don't today, but could have. Prefer federated approach
- Ed Some EHR vendors have implemented the SMART on FHIR standard slightly differently, although have not seen this cause any issues yet