## 11/1/18 - Meeting Notes



Alix Goss

**Bob Dieterle** 

Danielle Friend

Patrick Murta

Jason Walonoski

Ed Martin

Tim Young

Karl Davis

Alex Kontur

Diana Ciricean

## One-pager Updates

- Commonwell (Tim), DirectTrust (Patrick), Availity (Patrick), Surescripts (Danielle) one-pagers should be available by next week
- Ed can do one-pagers for Apple and AWS

## Use Case Discussion

Patient information request – provider to plan

- Extensions scenario 4 "request an attributed patient roster"
  - O Bob response returned as a FHIR structured document. Content of request/response are items we should consider
- Extensions scenario 5 "request claims information for my panel", "response back from the payer is an asynchronous FHIR structured document..."
  - Bob "my panel" is a specific qualification, which may be decomposed to a request for information about a single patient. When a new
    patient is seen by a provider, the provider may want to see claims history and/or the payer's record on that patient. Uses the FHIR bulk
    data access model
    - Jason the bulk data specification doesn't actually send FHIR responses (bundles), rather an NDJSON file. The check to see whether the response is complete are just JSON files, not a FHIR resource/bundle
    - Bob should update the language in the use case
    - Murta the use case identifies bundles because there is work between Humana/Epic to implement some of this using actual FHIR documents. Will double check language
    - Bob when the response is complete, it returns a URL to where you can get the NDJSON files, correct?
      - Jason it returns a JSON document including transaction time, request, and output (with URL to the appropriate NDJSON file)
      - Bob security?
        - Jason Oauth for authorization. May need to use a JWT access token to obtain the NDJSON files from the server
        - Murta the word bundle is being used inappropriately here, was intended to mean a grouping of documents rather than a FHIR Bundle resource

- Bob Tiger Team implications: do you need a separate token/authorization for the results, does an endpoint have the ability to respond to a bulk data request
- Murta this was called out as an alternate flow because it's specifically an asynchronous response, whereas other scenarios
  were mostly synchronous
  - Bob (in the diagram) may need to add the clinical actor has to repeatedly query the payer to check the status of the response
- · Frequency: 25 million per day
  - Murta indicates a rough estimate of how this use case has to scale. Consider a payer w/5m covered lives. In a given day, that results in 1.5m transactions (includes administrative transactions, not just clinical transactions. Expect over time that administrative/clinical transactions will be roughly equivalent). Multiply that across all the scenarios (all request from providers to payers), account for a worst case scenario = potentially 25m transactions over a ten hour period
  - Bob did you do any thinking about how many of those are bulk data vs. single requests?
    - Murta We considered a single request for a single FHIR response would have the same weight as a bulk data request. Considered the activity between two endpoints, rather than the size/scope of the transaction

## Patient information request - plan to provider

- In scope all items
- Assumptions "transactions will be explicitly declared...", "endpoint discovery, security, versioning..."
  - Bob same as comment on last use case...not really out of scope just not defined in the use case
- Supporting actors payer systems, EHR, endpoint resolution capability
- Stakeholders & interests payer/plan, provider
  - Bob Payer/plan is receiving information, provider is providing information
- Pre-conditions 2 "payer system has adequate information...", 3 "the EHR or other clinical system has adopted the FHIR model...", 4 "the payer /plan has adopted the FHIR model..."
  - Bob on 2, it's not really the "requesting endpoint", should be "the endpoint for the request"
  - O Bob on 2, is the expectation that the responsibility resides with the payer system internally or requires an external resource?
    - Murta may be interpreted either way. There is no requirement that anything external to a payer will resolve a provider to a member.
    - Bob payer is responsible for determining the relationship between the member and a provider
    - Bob on 3, will need to determine the version that is supported. Also will need to determine the type of endpoint (e.g. an operations endpoint vs. a FHIR server)
- Post-conditions 2 "received in a timely manner", 3 "information is understandable", 5 "in the event of an error, the information returned does not leave the clinician..."
  - Bob 3 assumes the payload can be consumed. Is the assumption that the payload is a FHIR resource?
    - Murta RESTful API to exchange information. FHIR resource as the base transaction structure, but liberal about payload
    - Bob FHIR resources with CCD or PDF contained in or referenced by the resource