- 1. Did you and your organization achieve your goals? Please provide a detailed response. Yes, it took a little bit for the FHIR framework to come together for the radiology decision support transactions but now that that was wrapped up. We are happy with the FHIR based CDS web service design that we have implemented.
- 2. What work products/deliverables did you produce (e.g., knowledge artifacts)? What was the target timeline and actual timeline for producing them?

 We thought we would have our integration spec'd out and pilot completed in Q1/Q2 2015. The final FHIR standards took a bit longer than expected. Once completed, we were off and running on our development and will be presenting at the "showcase" at the end of August.
- 3. Please describe the resources needed to produce Phase III work products. Resources can be defined as programmer/analyst hours, participation in the All Hands meeting, participation in HL7 based weekly calls, providing rationale to management to participate in the pilot, etc. Did you contribute any comments to the HL7 ballot (January/May 2015)? If you did, were they responded to by the CQF team in a timely fashion? If not, why not? Total 80-100 hours of participation, design, development and QA time. 80% of this time was for the design, development and QA of the pilot itself.

 We did not contribute all comments for the HL7 ballot. Since we are focused on the web service CDS rather than the CDS artifacts, we did not have any comments.
- 4. Has your team updated its work products to reflect changes in each of the balloted standards? If yes, please provide an example. If no, please provide a brief explanation of why the update to the specification was not included.

 Yes, for the FHIR based tweaks needed.
- 5. What, if anything, could have made your pilot more successful? Please include tangible resources, like funding or guidance documents, and intangibles, like alternative pilot sites or support from leadership.
 Not much. Our pilot has gone well.
- 6. Did you receive the support from the CQF project team that you expected? Please explain. Yes. I would add that having one-on-one sessions with our pilot advisor Bryn really helped solidify / speed up our pilot design and progress. One suggestion would be to recommend / require that one-on-one with your advisory from the start.

7. On a scale of 1-10 (one being the least satisfied, and 10 the most), please rate your overall experience with the CQF project. Are there specific issues of which you think the CQF project and/or the Standards and Interoperability program should be aware?
9