

June 2019



Durable/Lifetime Credentials - Background

- 1. Conducting research as part of ongoing improvement initiatives
- 2. Compliance, legal, security and usability aspects considered
- 3. Multiple technologies being considered (digital signatures, open badges, blockchain)
- 4. Recipient ownership assumed^{*} in order to take full advantage of available of technology and to achieve highest efficiencies

* Exceptions may apply



Preliminary Highlights / Findings

If used properly, several options:

- Meet legal, regulatory and credentialing requirements/standards
- Offer improvement over many processes used today but will also improve over time as usage grows
- Will require workflow changes for everyone (programs, physicians, credentials, licensing boards,...)
- Current offerings do NOT seem to tackle recipient ownership and 'network effect' but promote new centralized systems



Digital Credentials Comparison Table



Also includes: 'Format', 'Data', 'Ideal Use Cases', 'Dependency' and 'Self Sovereign'



FSMB Next Steps

- Committed to continuing this work via an evolutionary approach
 - Option to deliver of individual artifacts (i.e. VGMET)
 - Strengthening certificate signing
- Initial focus in our 'Closed Program' area
- Working with users (SMBs, credentialors) in advance to promote acceptance
- Expect to deliver documents in this format in CY 2019

