What is the definition of authordatetime. In Quality Data Model, Version 5.4 page 12 states that it is the "time a data element is documented, i.e., a single point in time." It also states on page 39 that "some datatypes include both Relevant Time and authordatetime attributes. The purpose is to accommodate author datetime if the actual start and stop times are not available when evaluating for feasibility, and also to allow specification of a time for Negation Rationale." Where I have a question is in Section 4. There are multiple references of authordatetime attributing to datatypes. For example, authorstartdate is referenced on page 15 for Datatype: Assessment, Performed.

| Datatype | Definition |
| :--- | :--- |
| Assessment, <br> Performed | Data elements that meet criteria using this <br> datatype should document completion of the <br> assessment indicated by the QDM category and <br> its corresponding value set. |
| Timing: The time the assessment is completed: <br> Author dateTime. |  |

Using the definition on page 15 of the QDM v5.4 and looking at an example in the eSpec, such as CMS90v8.

## $\triangle$ PROMIS10 Total Assessment

["Assessment, Performed": "PROMIS 10 Global Mental Health Score"] PROMIS10MentalScore with ["Assessment, Performed": "PROMIS 10 Global Physical Health Score"] PROMIS10PhysicalScore such that PROMIS10MentalScore.authorDatetime same day as PROMIS10PhysicalScore.authorDatetime and PROMIS10PhysicalScore.result is not null where PROMIS10MentalScore.result is not null

Is the PROMIS10MentalScore.authorDatetime, the time the assessment is performed and if "null" use the "time the data element was documented;" or is the PROMIS10MentalScore.authorDatetime the time data element was created (i.e., authordatetime definition in QDM 5.4)? How should we interpret the logic above?

Additionally, there can be circumstances where a provider may not enter the information in the chart on the same day. For the example, you can have a situation where a provider enters the mental score the same day as the encounter but forgets to enter the physical score until the next day. If we use authordatetime, the provider would not get credit, but if we use assessment performed, the provider would get credit.

For CMS69v7, authorstartdate is more clearly written and aligns with its definition on page 39 of QDM v5.4. For example, the logic below states to use the HighBMIInterventions.relevant period and if the relevant period is null, then use the HighBMIInterventions.authorstartdate (i.e., the date the element was documented).

```
4 High BMI Follow Up Encounters
    "Qualifying Encounters During the Measurement Period" QualifyingEncounter
        with (["Intervention, Order": "Above Normal Follow-up"]
        union ( [Intervention, Order": "Referrals where weight assessment may occur"] Referra
                where Referral.reason in "Overweight or Obese
            )
            union ["Medication, Order": "Above Normal Medications"]) HighBMIInterventions
            such that Coalesce(start of HighBMIInterventions.relevantPeriod, HighBMIInterventions.authorDatetime)12 months or less on or before end of
QualifyingEncounter.relevantPeriod
```

If there is no coalesce in the logic, should we interpret the logic for authorstartdate as the "time the data element was documented."

Thank you.

